The Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan 2018 - 2031 Consultation Report

Published by the Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group on behalf of Ashbury Parish Council under the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012

December 2018

CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1 Background
 - 1.2 Early Surveys & Consultations
 - 1.3 Vision & Objectives and Draft Policy Consultations
- 2. Pre-Submission Consultation
 - 2.1 Consultation Approach
 - 2.2 Consultation Feedback
 - 2.3 List of Statutory Bodies & Groups Consulted
- 3. Overview of Pre-Submission Consultation Responses Including Proposed Plan Amendments and/or Actions.
- 4. Appendices
 - A. Proposed Monitoring & Review Process
 - B. Vision & Objectives Questionnaire and Feedback Summary
 - C. Pre-submission Consultation Publicity, approach letters/Emails and Responses [Statutory Bodies only].

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The decision to proceed with a Plan was made by the Parish Council in 2016. A chief concern was to ensure that local planning policies were sufficiently robust & specific to protect the character of the parish, and especially the unique historic character of the settlements, at a time when the trend towards relaxation of planning restrictions was gathering pace. For this reason, the Neighbourhood Plan is designed to complement and refine as appropriate the policies of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 1[LPP1] adopted on 14th December 2016, and the emerging Local Plan 2031 Part 2 [LPP2].

A Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group was formed by the Parish Council, comprising parish councilors and members of the local community and it was delegated authority to make day-to-day decisions on the Neighbourhood Plan. However, as a qualifying body, the Parish Council itself approved the publication of the Pre-Submission Neighbourhood Plan of June 2018 and the Submission version of the Neighbourhood Plan was approved in September 2018.

1.2 Early Surveys & Consultations

The Pre-submission Consultation to which this report relates was preceded by a consultation on the ANP Vision & Objectives, generated from the earlier consultations and surveys, to determine that these accurately corresponded to the community's wishes.

To expand on this, at each stage of the ANP process the steering group on behalf of the Parish Council, has sought to go beyond the minimum requirements for community consultation required by law. This has included an initial 'scoping' survey followed by targeted surveys to better understand the feedback received, before using it in formulating the draft vision & objectives that were the stepping stone to the ANP policies. Each survey was widely publicised by a combination of flyer drops, public notices, social media and public & one-to-one meetings to ensure that the feedback received was as representative of the wider community as possible.

These early Surveys & Consultations may be summarised as follows:

Proposed Plan area:

Launch date: 24th June, 2016

Objective: To confirm the ANP area as coinciding with that of the Parish of Ashbury.

Method: Flier drop to all households & businesses and poster campaign via notice

boards, plus 'statutory bodies' consultation.

Outcome: There were no objections to the area to be designated being that within the

Parish boundary, and while the responses received were all supportive they were relatively modest in number. In retrospect it was considered that this was probably due to the methodology and concluded that for future consultations a more personal 'hands-on' means of engagement would be required to gain a true understanding of the wishes of the wider community.

Public Meeting 1:

Launch date: 23rd August, 2016

Objective: To make community aware of the Plan and calling for residents input as a

guide the Plan content.

Method: Flier drop to all households, community groups & businesses, poster

campaign via notice boards and personal engagement by steering group.

Outcome

Over 80-residents attended the meeting, attributable in part to the steering group engaging with residents on a one-to-one basis when possible prior to the meeting. This resulted in a number of issues being identified as areas of concern, that laid the foundation for our first Parish survey, namely:

- a) the siting of any new development:
- b) the need to maintain Parish amenities, and:
- c) the need to protect key views & green spaces.

Initial Survey & Consultation Events:

Dates: Survey launched October 2016 and run in conjunction with the following

events:

Ashbury Market - 20th November, 2016; Church Christmas Bazaar - 26th

November, 2016: School Christmas Fayre – 1st December, 2016.

Objective: To make community aware of, and/or address queries about the

Neighbourhood Plan & Process, while obtaining feedback via the initial survey to gain some insight into the aspirations of the community before

embarking on the Plan process.

Method: Survey flier drop to all households, community groups & businesses and

poster campaign via notice boards, Ashbury website & Facebook posts with feedback via Email & drop-boxes provided at each event, plus at Ashbury Shop & Rose & Crown, together with at least one contact address within

each settlement. .

Outcome 76-completed survey questionnaires were received representing 28% of

households. The responses provided the first pointer as to the depth of concern of residents to the issues raised at the prior public meeting, notably:

a) the need to protect the Parish's rural nature & key views:

- b) concerns over the sustainability over its amenities & infrastructure, notably public transport, and:
- c) the need to better protect the historical sites and buildings within the Parish.

This survey in particular informed the 'direction of travel' for the Plan.

Business Survey:

Launch date: March, 2017

Objective: To determine whether there were any specific/immediate 'land use' issues

that should be pursued when formulating the ANP policies.

Method: Targeted flier drop and Email campaign to all businesses based and/or with

land/premises within the Parish.

Outcome Other than a desire for a more regular bus service, no issues were raised by

the business & farming communities as the information provided was mostly statistical. However, given that the neighbourhood plan is adopted, the steering group intends to conduct more detailed research within the business community to determine whether/by what means the economy of the Parish could be enhanced and bring appropriate policies forward as part of the

review process.

Stakeholder Consultation:

Launch date: March, 2017

Objective: To determine needs and/or aspirations of local community groups,

particularly with regard to local amenities.

Method: Targeted Email campaign to community groups or [where no specific contact

details were available] identified individuals, plus one-to-one meetings with

such groups/individuals.

Outcome As the majority of stakeholder groups comprised Parish residents; perhaps

unsurprisingly the feedback received was similar in content to that for the

initial survey above, namely:

a) the need to protect the Parish amenities, and:

b) a desire to enhance public transport to better serve the elderly in the

community.

Open [Green] Space & Views Survey:

Launch date: May, 2017

Objective: A largely data gathering exercise to determine: i] how the community would

wish to see the main green spaces maintained and/or protect, and ii] the views that the community considered to be key and/or wished to see

protected where possible...

Method: Survey flier drop to all households, community groups & businesses and

poster campaign via notice boards, Ashbury website & Facebook posts with feedback via Email & drop-boxes provided at each event, plus at Ashbury Shop & Rose & Crown, together with at least one contact address within

each settlement...

Outcome The feedback firstly identified the areas of open/green space that were

important to the community and needed to be protected, namely: the allotments & Wixes Piece field, both in Ashbury Village, plus the green &

Upper Mill pond at Kingstone Winslow.

The second part identified the key views and vistas within the Parish, the majority of which related to either the coombes & escarpment leading onto the AONB, or the extensive views to be had from near the spring-line

settlements to the north across the vale.

Summary & Data Utilisation

The feedback from these early surveys & consultations indicated the following to be key concerns within the community:

- a) The need to protect the Parish's rural nature & key views:
- b) A desire that any new development should be sited such that it does detract from the above:
- c) The need to maintain Parish amenities.
- d) Similarly, the need to maintain the Parish's infrastructure, and if possible enhance public transport in particular.
- e) The need to better protect the historical sites and buildings within the Parish.

This feedback was then used by the steering group to inform and steer the next phase of the ANP process, the production of, and consultation on, its draft Vision & Objectives document, and thereafter the resulting ANP policies. It is also worth noting that, while each of these preliminary surveys & consultations indicated an 'end date', the steering group has always made clear to the community that full account will be taken of feedback whenever it was received.

1.3 Vision & Objectives and Draft Policy Consultations.

The ANP Vision & Objectives [V&O's] were generated from the earlier consultations and surveys and followed a similar pattern other than, given the importance of the V&O's to the ANP process, to ensure that these accurately corresponded to the community's wishes a two-tier consultation approach was adopted run in parallel with a further series of publicity events. The feedback from these consultations was then used to create the draft ANP policies that were in turn publicised and tested in public meetings

This second phase of consultation may be summarised as follows:

V&O's Campaign 1:

Launch date: 19th June, 2017 at a public meeting in Ashbury Village Hall

Objective: To present the draft Vision & Objectives [V&O's] document to the community

and provide guidance on how to consider approaching them in order to

complete the survey form, plus:

Follow-up events at Ashbury market [25th June] & Shop tea-room 19th July] to

address any queries and/or note specific comments on V&O's

Method: Pre-event flier drop to all households, community groups & businesses; an

Email campaign where contact details had previously be provided; poster campaign via notice boards, and; Ashbury website & Facebook posts. As before feedback was via Email & drop-boxes plus contact points in each

settlement.

In addition follow-up one-to-one 'drop-in' presentations for those unable to attend public meeting were provided, publicised through poster campaigns

via notice boards, plus Ashbury website & Facebook posts.

Outcome: By and large the feedback was largely positive [generally greater than 95%

approval rating] but where circa 10% of the responses were negative or contradictory, a follow-up campaign was launched to better understand same, namely: Objective 5 – improving the footpath network, and: Objective

9 – maintaining or enhancing community amenities.

V&O's Campaign 2:

Launch date: Late July, 2017

Objective: To follow-up on the specific queries and/or uncertainties identified from

completed survey forms from campaign 1 – as above.

Method: Targeted Email campaign and/or one-to-one telephone conversations where

possible.

Outcome: It quickly became evident that the majority of negative/contradictory

responses arose from the individual misinterpreting particular questions. When the question was clarified, and great care was taken to avoid 'leading' the resident when doing so, the further feedback suggested that the true

level of negative responses was certainly less than 10%.

Only in two instances did a significant number of residents not think the objective desirable, namely: Objective 5 – six individuals thought the enhancement of community services could create a greater potential of attracting larger developments, and: Objective 9 – twelve individuals did not consider improving the footpath/bridleway network should be planning

requirement, although this reduced to seven after further clarification.

While all responses were recorded for future reference, the steering group considered that such an overwhelmingly positive outcome not only demonstrated that the concerns of the community had been correctly interpreted, but provided a sound basis upon which to draft the ANP policies based upon the draft V&O's.

Draft Policy Campaign:

Launch date: 30th October, 2017 at a public meeting in Ashbury Village Hall

Objective: To present the draft policies to the community and address immediate

queries.

Method: Pre-event flier drop to all households, community groups & businesses; an

Email campaign where contact details had previously be provided; poster campaign via notice boards, and; Ashbury website & Facebook posts. The event itself comprised a slide-show presentation of 'grouped' policies by our consultants Bluestone Planning LLP interspersed with Q&A sessions to

address immediate queries or concerns.

This in turn was followed by consultations events at Church Christmas Bazaar [25th] and School Christmas Fayre [30th], and one-to-one 'drop-in' presentations for those unable to attend the public meeting, publicised through poster campaigns via notice boards, Ashbury website & Facebook

posts with feedback via Email, or drop-boxes for those without Email.

Outcome: There was remarkably little criticism of the policies and the strongest

received [to oppose any 'new build'] was rejected as failing to meet the spirit of neighbourhood planning – i.e to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. There were, however, some constructive comments made in respect of parish amenities such as the bus service & pre-school facility, and a suggestion that 'Dark Skies' should be a consideration in any new development within the Parish. These have been

incorporated into the draft ANP documents.

Draft Document Consultations:

Launch date: March/April, 2018

Objective: To provide the first opportunity for the community to have sight of the draft

Plan & Character Appraisal documents and contextualise the ANP policies.

Method: Targeted Email campaign, poster campaign via notice boards, Ashbury

website & Facebook posts and hard copies displayed at Ashbury Shop, the

Rose & Crown Inn and at a pre-advertised Parish Council meeting.

Outcome: This was treated as a test exercise in advance of the formal Pre-Submission

Consultation, and served to iron out some minor typographical errors within the documents, while other feedback on some of the historical sites & listed buildings was used to firm-up the ANP documents presented at the formal

consultation.

The Vision & Objectives survey document together with an overview of the feedback may be found in appendix B1 & B2 below, while the full data with that from the key preceding surveys, may be found in Document 7 'Surveys' of the ANP.

2. PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION

2.1 Consultation Approach

After much pre-publicity, including flyer drops, public notices & social media, the pre-submission consultation commenced at 09:00 on Friday, 25th May 2018, and closed at 5pm on Friday, 6th July 2018, thereby giving Parish residents a little over 6 weeks in which to respond. In the event, responses received up to a week after the closing date were accepted.

The consultation comprised two key elements:

- Consulting residents & businesses from within the Parish, and:
- Consulting with the 'statutory bodies' referred to in paragraph 1 of Schedule 1 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (the Statutory Consultees), together with other bodies and social groups both within and beyond the Parish – Table 1 below, provides a full list of those consulted.

In support of this the Steering Group:

- Communicated electronically and by social media & public advertising about specific events, dates and deadlines;
- Made electronic copies of the ANP and related documents available via the ANP dedicated section of the Ashbury website;
- Distributed electronic copies of the key documents & feedback form to all those residents, community groups & businesses that had provided contact details beforehand;
- Offered to provide either electronic or hard copies of all documents via a flyer drop to every household & business within the Parish;
- Made hard copies available in the Village Shop, the Rose & Crown Inn, the Village Hall and via the Parish Council:
- Hand delivered to each residential property and business premises within the Parish a
 poster providing details of the process with key dates, together with a feedback form and
 details of how to respond.
- Organised drop-boxes for completed feedback forms at the Village Shop, Rose & Crown Inn and the Village Hall, as well as via steering group members;
- Notified residents of the Parish of the Plan and the Village Hall event via emails including via posters with the details of the event and how to view the Plan documents;
- Offered one-to-one discussions about any area of the Plan to those unable to attend the public events or had difficulty understanding/completing the feedback form;
- Accepted informal feedback, whether verbal or written, provided the individual was known to reside, work or conduct business within the Parish.

This consultation report then endeavours to reflect this methodology as follows:

- a. Provides examples of the approach made to Parish residents and the statutory bodies appendix C refers.
- b. Summarises in tabular form the feedback received from both the Parish Consultation and the Statutory Bodies see table 2 below;
- c. Within this table sets out the remedial action taken where necessary;
- d. Presents electronic copies of each response from the statutory bodies only [see appendix C to this report], those from the Parish Consultation being withheld to protect the identity of individual residents that participated, theset are available in a redacted form on request.

e. Sets out a proposal for a monitoring & review process intended to enable the Parish Council to 'flag' any relevant planning matters to the Statutory Bodies, and bring forward policies as necessary to address points raised by the consultation – appendix A refers.

2.2 Consultation Feedback

Ashbury is a small, rural Parish comprising some 250 dwellings, and hence our expectation was that, despite considerable publicity both prior to and during the consultation period, the feedback would be quite modest in percentage terms and this proved to be the case being concentrated on a relatively few, more engaged residents. No doubt for similar reasons, the feedback from the statutory bodies was also relatively modest.

By extrapolating from the 2011 census results, it is estimated that in excess of 425 residents of voting age were directly canvassed as part of the consultation, including those of the business listed in Table 2 [notably the farms] where the premises comprised a residential element.

The table below provides an overview of the responses received:

•	Residents:	21
•	Local businesses:	0
•	Landowners and/or their agents:	0
•	Local Organisations:	0
•	Statutory bodies/Interested Groups	9

It will be seen from table 2 that for the most-part the feedback from the Parish Consultation was concentrated on minor textural errors in the Plan documents, and where necessary have all been corrected. That from the Statutory Bodies, while generally restricted to generic observations, nevertheless resulted in a series of recommendation by the Steering Group, namely:

- ➤ SB1, 2 & 3: When the Plan is adopted the Parish Council establish a system to automatically notify the Statutory Bodies of any documents and/or planning applications that could impact on the infrastructure of these bodies:
- > SB6 The Parish Council research the viability of a further layer of policies targeted at improving and/or protecting the biodiversity of the Parish as a whole, and:
- SB7 & 8 The Parish Council formally designate what are currently unlisted heritage assets and bring forward a policy/policies aimed at fully protected same to the benefit of the community as a whole.

As a starting point to fulfilling these recommendations the Steering Group propose that the monitoring & review process set out in appendix A be adopted.

2.2 Statutory Bodies & Other Groups Consulted

Table 1 below provides a list of the the Statutory Bodies asked to comment on the ANP comprising Local Government departments and infrastructure providers, together with other parties & groups that the ANP could impact upon such as local councilors, neighbouring parishes and representative groups. Only eight of the 48-bodies consulted responded, and these are highlighted in the table that follows by using bold text.

TABLE 1: STATUTORY BODIES & OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES CONTACTED

Planning & Related Interested Bodies

Oxfordshire County Council

West Berks County Council

Wiltshire County Council

Swindon Borough Council

Oxfordshire Area Council - South & Vale

Oxfordshire District Council - Vale of the White Horse

Oxfordshire County Councillor - Shrivenham Division

VWH District Councillor - Watchfield & Shrivenham 1

VWH District Councillor - Watchfield & Shrivenham 2

The Coal Authority

Homes & Communities Agency

Natural England

Environment Agency

Historic England

Network Rail - Asset Protection

Network Rail - Town Planning

Highways England

Marine Management Organisation

BT 1 & BT 2

EE Public Affairs

Three

EMF Enquiries - Vodaphone & O2

Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group

NHS England

National Grid

National Grid Land Acquisitions

Cadent. Plant Protection

UK Power Networks

Sewerage & Water Developer

Savills [on behalf of Thames Water]

Neighbouring Parishes

Bourton Parish Council

Shrivenham Parish Council

Compton Beauchamp Parish Council

Lambourn Parish Council

Baydon Parish Council

Bishopstone Parish Council

Interested Groups

Disabled Rights UK

Ethnic Groups – none found

Oxfordshire Anglicans

Catholic Groups – none found

Methodist Group - Ashbury

TABLE 2: BUSINESSES AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES CONTACTED

Amenities & Tourism

Ashbury Primary School The Rose & Crown Inn
Village Shop & Tea Room National Trust, Ashdown *

Farming 'Industry'

Ashbury Farms H G Ball

Chapelwick Farm Compton Beauchamp Estates

Fern Farm R W Green & Partners
K Peploe & Son F G Reade & Sons
Ruffinswick Farm Stainswick Farm

Other Employers

R & P Engineering

West Mill Forestry

Ashbury Electricals Roger Baker Architects

Bunce [Ashbury] Ltd D-lite Design
Electrical Technolo-G Ltd NMW Architects

Sole-Traders

As all sole traders are 'home based' they were canvassed via the residential mail-drop and by Email where the address had been provided. Similarly, where responses were received unless these were business specific [and none were], the response was treated as if from the resident – i.e they have not been reproduced in this document, are available in redacted form upon request.

Fedback from	쏬	Document	Document	f'back	Brief summary of comment or	Proposed remedial action or action
	f'back		reference if	reference	objection - for full commentary	taken
	4		applicable		see feedback form.	
Parish Resident	S&C	Plan	Para 7.16	LR1.01	Incorrectly identified as	Information verified, feedback
					Methodist, is in fact former	acknowledged and document
					Baptist Chapel & burial ground	amended.
		Plan	Para 7.53	LR1.02	Suggests adding that 47-route	Information verified, feedback
					three local authority areas	acknowledged and document
					[Swindon, West Berks & Oxon	amended.
					and both Swindon & Oxon have withdrawn funding	
		Plan	Figs 7.12,	LR1.03	incorrectly carry same text as Fig	Feedback acknowledged, errors
		i iaii	7.13, 7.15 &	LIXI.03	7.11	arose during editing, and document
			7.16, 7.16 Q		7.11	amended accordingly - e.g. Fig 7.12
			7.10			now correctly named 'Elm Tree
						Farm'.
		Plan	Para 7.59	LR1.04	Styles' should read 'stiles'	Feedback acknowledged, error a
						simple 'typo' and document
						amended accordingly.
Parish Resident	С	Plan	Sect 7	LR2.01	Questions titling of Idstone	Feedback acknowledged, errors
					images - a variation of LR1.03	arose during editing, and document
						amended accordingly - e.g. Fig 7.12
						now correctly named 'Elm Tree
			= - 10			Farm'.
		Plan	Figs 7.12,	LR2.02	As LR1.03 above	Feedback acknowledged, errors
			7.13, 7.15 &			arose during editing, and document
			7.16			amended accordingly - e.g. Fig 7.13 now correctly named 'Trip the
						Daisy'.
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR3.01	Good work. Our thanks to all	Feedback acknowledged, with no
T dilon recordone		i idii		LINO.01	that have contributed	further action required.
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR4.01	The Plan seems both	Feedback acknowledged, with no
					comprehensive & focussed. I	further action required.
					support it . Offers thanks to	·
					those concerned.	
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR5.01	Plan fully portrays the rural	Feedback acknowledged, with no
					heritage of the Parish and its	further action required.
		ī	F: 7.10	1.05.00	environmental sensitivity.	
		Plan	Figs 7.12,	LR5.02	As LR1.03 above	Feedback acknowledged, errors
			7.13, 7.15 & 7.16			arose during editing, and document amended accordingly - e.g. Fig 7.15
			7.10			now correctly named 'Double
						Cottage'.
Parish Resident	S&C	Plan		LR6.01	Plan encompasses all aspects of	Feedback acknowledged, with no
r anon resolution	0.00	1 1011		2110.01	concern for the future planning of	•
					the Parish	
		Plan	Figs 7.12,	LR6.02	As LR1.03 above	Feedback acknowledged, errors
			7.13, 7.15 &			arose during editing, and document
			7.16			amended accordingly - e.g. Fig 7.16
						now correctly named 'Rectory
D		<u> </u>		15= -:	T. 0 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Farmhouse'.
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR7.01	The 9-objectives outlined are all	Feedback acknowledged, with no
					things I feel strongly about,	further action required.
					therefore I fully support them and the subsequent policies	
					ine subsequent policies	
	j l		1 1		I	l

Parish Resident S Policy CA1 LR8.01 Flag Fleedback form. Fleedback acknowledge further action requirements of the protection of the planning process	d, with no ired. d, with no ired. ed, errors and view C north. ed, errors and image
Parish Resident S Plan LR8.01 Fully support the protection of heritage assets, open gaps between settlements and the views & vistas into and out of the willage. Exceelent work. Parish Resident S Policy CA1 LR9.01 LR9.01 LR9.01 I particularly support the further action requives & vistas into and out of the willage. Exceelent work. Parish Resident C Plan Fig 7.24 LR10.01 View C shown on wrong side of road road Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an across pond now correctly faces. Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an across pond now correctly faces. Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doo amended accordir Bedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doo amended accordir make sense Plan Pig 7.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'o to read 'or'. Parish Resident C C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.1, P.21 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road namended accordir for parenthis doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and road or to read 'or'. CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road or amended accordir for parenthis doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and road or to read 'or'. Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road or amended accordir for parenthis doesn't parent	ed, errors and view C north.
Parish Resident S	ed, errors and view C north.
Parish Resident S Policy CA1 LR9.01 LR9.01 I particularly support the retention of the existing views in and out of the village. Exceelent work. Feedback acknowledge further action required in the existing views in and out of Ashbury, which could easily be overlooked in the planning process	ed, errors and view C north.
Detween settlements and the views & vistas into and out of the village. Exceelent work.	ed, errors ad view C north. ed, errors ad image
Parish Resident S Policy CA1 LR9.01 LR9.01 LR9.01 LR9.01 LR9.01 Feedback acknowledge further action required and out of Ashbury, which could easily be overlooked in the planning process	ed, errors nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Parish Resident S Policy CA1 LR9.01 LR9.01 I particularly support the retention of the existing views in and out of Ashbury, which could easily be overlooked in the planning process Parish Resident C Plan Fig 7.24 LR10.01 View C shown on wrong side of road Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an across pond now correctly as on arrow 8 rather as on arrow 8 rather as on arrow 8 rather beds Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds Plan Pish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 R11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road nameded accordir amended to record that occupy the factory busing letting an across pond now correctly identified watercress beds Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and void 'on to read 'or'. Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and void 'on to read 'or'. Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and void 'on to read 'or'. Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road namended accordir amended to record that occupy the factory busing used by 2-firms, not one coupy the factory busing letting and amended accordir amended accordir feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road namended ac	ed, errors nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Parish Resident S Policy CA1 LR9.01 I particularly support the retention of the existing views in and out of Ashbury, which could easily be overlooked in the planning process Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an now correctly faces	ed, errors nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Parish Resident C Plan Fig 7.24 LR10.01 View C shown on wrong side of road Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, at across pond now correctly faces as on arrow 8 rather Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds watercre	ed, errors nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Parish Resident C Plan Fig 7.24 LR10.01 View C shown on wrong side of road arose during editing, ar now correctly faces arose during editing, ar across pond now correctly as on arrow 8 rather Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, ar across pond now correctl as on arrow 8 rather Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended accordir plan P.56 para LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and vord or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road n amended accordir amended accordir firms, not one coupty the factory but occupy the fa	ed, errors nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Parish Resident C Plan Fig 7.24 LR10.01 View C shown on wrong side of road arose during editing, ar now correctly faces Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, ar now correctly faces Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended according beds Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds beds Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'o to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one correctly in amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended according the feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms accupy the fa	nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Parish Resident C Plan Fig 7.24 LR10.01 View C shown on wrong side of road road road Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an now correctly faces Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an now correctly faces Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and door amended according plan plan plan plan plan plan plan plan	nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Parish Resident C Plan Fig 7.24 LR10.01 View C shown on wrong side of road Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, ar now correctly faces Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an across pond now correctly as on arrow 8 rather Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended according Plan P.56 para LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds Plan P.56 para R.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense Plan Resident Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road in amended according process of the process of	nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an now correctly faces Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, an across pond now correctly as on arrow 8 rather Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended according plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan P	nd view C north. ed, errors nd image
Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, a across pond now correctly as on arrow 8 rather Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and docoamended according and provided simple 'typo' and coade and provided simple 'typo' and work of the condition of the con	north. ed, errors nd image
Plan Fig 7.27 LR10.02 Photo's 2 & 8 arrows incorrect? Feedback acknowledge arose during editing, at across pond now correct as on arrow 8 rather Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended according beds Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense Simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road now correctly identified simple 'typo' and road now correctly identified simple 'typo' and road now correctly identified simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one correctly identified simple 'typo' and road now correctly identified watercress bed: CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one correctly identified watercress bed: CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road now correctly identified watercress bed: CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road now correctly identified watercress bed: CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road now correctly identified watercress bed: CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges.	ed, errors nd image
Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended accordir Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds beds now correctly identified watercress beds Plan P.56 para LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road in amended accordir	nd image
Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended according heat simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road not amended according heat simple 'typo' and road not amended to record that a cocupy the factory but a cocupy the factory but amended according heat simple 'typo' and road not according heat s	
Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and docording Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds beds peds now correctly identified watercress beds now correctly identified watercress beds had been parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road now amended according amended according the factory but and the factory but amended according the factor	
Plan Fig 7.31 LR10.03 As LR1.04 above Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and doc amended according plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds beds peds peds peds peds peds peds peds p	y identified
Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds now correctly identified watercress beds Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.2, P.28 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road n amended according the factory but a cocupy the factory but a manended according typo' and road n amended according the factory but a cocupy the factory but a manended according the factory but a cocupy the factory and cocupy the factory but a cocupy the factory and cocupy the fact	than 2.
Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds now correctly identified watercress beds Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.2, P.28 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road n amended according the factory but a cocupy the factory but a manended according typo' and road n amended according the factory but a cocupy the factory but a manended according the factory but a cocupy the factory and cocupy the factory but a cocupy the factory and cocupy the fact	
Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds now correctly identified watercress beds Plan P.56 para LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'o' to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road in amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road in amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road in amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road in amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road in amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road in amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road in amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA.6, P40 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges the factory buildings used by 2-firms are factory buildings used by 2	d, error a
Plan Fig 7.4 LR10.04 Not mill-pond, but watercress beds now correctly identified watercress beds Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'o' to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledges amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road no amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road no amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms and the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road no amended according the factory buildings used by 2-firms and t	ument
Plan P.56 para 8.4 CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road namended according CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road namended according capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged capable (CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' re	ıgly.
Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road not amended according the factory but a compared to record that a coupy the factory but a coupy the fac	d, image
Plan P.56 para 8.4 LR10.05 Clause in parenthisi doesn't make sense simple 'typo' and word 'or to read 'or'. Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road namended according amended according amended to record that occupy the factory but amended according to the factory but amended according the factory but amended according the factory but amended according to the factory but amended according to the factory but amended according to the factory but amended to the factory but amended according to the factory but amended to the factory but ame	as former
Barish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and word 'o' to read 'or'. Appraisal [CA] CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and word 'o' to read 'or'. Appraisal [CA] CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged	S.
Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledged amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road in amended according the control of	d, error a
Parish Resident C Character Appraisal [CA] CA.1, P.21 LR11.01 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road in amended according amended according amended to record that occupy the factory but amended according to a management of the factory but amended to record that occupy the factory but amended according to a management of the factory but amended according to a management of the factory but amended according to a management of the factory but amended according to a management of the factory but amended according to a management of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but amended according to a contract of the factory but and the factory but are a contract.	" corrected
Appraisal [CA] CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged simple 'typo' and road noccupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one Amended according to the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one Amended according to the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged	
[CA] amended according CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended to record that occupy the factory buildings used by 2-firms, not one amended to record that occupy the factory but CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road in amended according CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges	d, error a
CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2- firms, not one amended to record that occupy the factory but CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road namended according CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges	umbering
CA CA.2, P.28 LR11.02 Factory buildings used by 2- firms, not one amended to record that occupy the factory but CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledges simple 'typo' and road in amended according CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledges	ıgly.
CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road n amended according CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged	, text now
CA CA.6, P40 LR11.03 B4501 should read B4507 Feedback acknowledge simple 'typo' and road n amended according CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged	t 2-firms
simple 'typo' and road n amended accordin CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged	ildings.
CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged	d, error a
CA CA.10, P.56 LR11.04 AONB' repeated Feedback acknowledged	umbering
	ıgly.
identified related to an ea	, but error
instrument foliation to all of	arlier draft
and the repeated sect	ion had
already been deleted f	rom the
consultation versi	on.
CA CA.10, P.57 LR11.05 Word 'ditch contains a typo Feedback acknowledge	
simple 'typo' and doo	
amended according	
CA CA.10, P.59 LR11.06 There are escarpment.' Feedback acknowledge	
paragraph repeated repeated paragraph h	
deleated.	
CA App A, P.70 LR11.07 Queries why Lertwell Cottage is Feedback acknowledge	
not listed Lertwell is not actually	ed, but
building, so no action i	-
However, it has been pu	a listed
for inclusion onto a list	a listed equired.
assets and 'buildings of	a listed required. ut forward
interest' currenting being	a listed required. it forward of Parish
a council sub-comm	a listed required. ut forward of Parish f special
	a listed required. It forward of Parish f special created by
	a listed required. It forward of Parish f special created by
	a listed required. It forward of Parish f special created by
	a listed required. It forward of Parish f special created by

Fodbask from		Document	Document -	flhact	Drief our reserved	Dropoed versedial autium
Fedback from	f'back	Document	Document reference if	f'back reference	Brief summary of comment or objection - for full commentary	Proposed remedial action
	fb		applicable	reletetice	see feedback form.	
		CA	App B, P.74	LR11.08	Should read FP/8 & FP/9	Feedback acknowledged, and
						document amended to reflect correct referencing.
Parish Resident	С	Policies		LR12.01	Support designation of a green- space to protect chalk streams subject to the extent being restricted to a maximum of 5m to either side.	Feedback acknowledged, and resident advised that the preference Is not to fix a distance as each case will be different. Instead the intention is that each application should be assessed on its merits while taking full account of the need to protect the chalk streams wherever possible.
Parish Resident	O	Policies		LR13.01	Argues designation of green- space relating to chalk-streams is inconsistent and should not apply to private households.	Feedback acknowledged, and while resident is not directly affected, it was clarified that the appeaach to those that were was to make them aware that any development would need to be sensitive to the chalk streams and take account of any feedback such as that above.
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR14.01	An excellent, well balanced assessment of the needs of the village	Feedback acknowledged, with no further action required.
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR15.01	Expresses importance of the community having a degree of control over future development to protect character of parish.	Feedback acknowledged, with no further action required.
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR16.01	Fully endorses Plan and stresses need to maintain separation of settlements	Feedback acknowledged, with no further action required.
Parish Resident	S	Plan		LR17.01	Suggests that Grade II 'listing' of Billy's/Billies Cottage is not applied consistantly throughout the documents	Feedback acknowledged and resident advised that 'Billies' is the designated speeling and used formally, while 'Billy's is the spelling preferred by the owner and hence used in that context.
Parish Resident	S&C	Plan		LR18.01	Suggests document should start with an executive summary to improve accessibility	resident advised that while an executive summary was discussed it was not considered appropriate at this stage. However, if compliant, this may be considered as an means of making the community aware in the lead-up to the referendum.
		Plan		LR18.02	Considers that greater weight should be given to risk of large scale development.	Feedback acknowledged, but as this runs counter to the ethos of neighbour-hood plans resident advised that it would not be considered appropriate for inclusion in the ANP.
Parish Resident	S&C	Plan	Figs 7.24 & 7.27	LR19.01	Suggests views C & 4 have been reversed	

Fedback from	fback	Document	Document reference if applicable	f'back reference	Brief summary of comment or objection - for full commentary see feedback form.	Proposed remedial action
		Plan	View 4	LR19.02	Suggests adjacent field be designating 'green space to protect view and separation of settlements	None required - field is already in 'open countyside' and hence such designation would duplicate the protection afforded by core policy 3 of LPP.1
Parish Resident	С	Plan	Fig 7.4	LR 20.01	As LR10.04, but also provides some useful historical background worthy of review	Feedback acknowledged, image now correctly identified as former watercress beds. Resident has also been approached to assist with preparing the lists referred to in response to LR11.07 above
Parish Resident	С	Policies	Policy 5	LR 21.01	Questions validity of this policy when parking at Ashbury shop could present a problem due to 'change of use'.	Feedback acknowledged, and resident advised that policy can only relate to new build, and change of use referred to is a retrospective application. However, point noted for particular reference on any new applications that arise in adjacent area.

Fedback from	×	Document	Document	f'back	Brief summary of comment or	Proposed remedial action
r oubdok from	f'back	Boodinone	reference if	reference	objection - for full commentary	1 Toposou Torrioular dollori
	=		applicable		see feedback form.	
Wood, pp National	С	Plan		SB1.01	Asks to be consulted on any N.P.	Request acknowledged, and a
Grid					documents, or site specific proposals that could affect their	recording system is being established to ensure adequate
					infrastructure	notice is given in such instances.
Localities Co-	С	Plan plus		SB2.01	Looks to local planning authority	Request acknowledged, and a
ordinator, OCCG		appendix 3			to support local GP practice with	recording system is being
					CIL or S106 funding.	established to ensure adequate
						notice is given in such instances.
Town Planner	С	Plan		SB3.01	Asks to be consulted on any	Request acknowledged, and a
[Western & Wales],					future planning documents.	recording system is being
Property Network Rail						established to ensure adequate notice is given in such instances.
Lambourn Parish	S	Plan		SB4.01	At a full meeting the council	Feedback acknowledged, with no
Council				05 1.01	agreed the Plan had no adverse	further action required.
					impact on the parish of	· ·
					Lambourn	
Baydon Parish	С	Plan		SB5.01	Considered the Plan very	Feedback acknowledged, with no
Council					comprehensive and had no	further action required.
Natural England	С	Policies		SB6.01	comments to make. Provide a number of policy	Feedback acknowledged and while
Natural England		1 Olloics		000.01	objectives aimed at enhancing	some of the proposals would be
					biodiversity with supplementary	more relevant if we had nominated
					policy ideas that are worthy of	sites, they will be used to explore
					consideration.	the case for a range of
						environmental and/or preservation
						policies to be brought forward at
Oxford County	С	Policies		SB7.01	Suggests introducing a policy to	review. Feedback acknowledged, and while
Council		Folicies		367.01	better protect what are currently	such assets have been identified
Council					non-designated heritage assets.	within the Plan document, work has
					3	already commenced on cataloguing
						Parish assets and 'buildings of
						special interest'.
VWHDC	С	Plan		SB8.01	Comments on all key areas of	Fodback asknowledged and
VVVIDC		Fiall		300.01	the Plan and proposes a series	Fedback acknowledged, and we have established a procedure that
					of checks & balances aimed at	takes full account of such
					ensure that the Plan is fully	observations and includes the need
					compliant	to review our policies with our
						consultants to determine whether
						these need to be amended as a
District Councilor -	С	Plan		SB9.01	At a meeting of Ashbury council	consequence. Feedback acknowledged, with no
Watchfield &		Fiall		309.01	district Councilor EW confirmed	further action required.
Shrivenham					that she what the Plan well	iditiloi dolloii icquiica.
					considered and presented.	

APPENDIX A

MONITORING AND REVIEW PROCESS AND ACTIONS FOR THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

To ensure the Neighbourhood Plan continues as a live and workable document, alert to all emerging policy and issues at all levels, a monitoring and review process will come into force via the Parish Council once the plan has been formally adopted. The principles of this process are outlined below

We will be required to resubmit the plan every 5 years until 2031. During the first 5 year period from 2018 - 2023 The Parish Council will:

- Convene a monitoring and review group for the Neighbourhood Plan. This may have the same or different members from that of the current Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group. A budget may be allocated to the group to facilitate the range of required purposeful functions
 - a. A revised set of terms of reference aligned to a monitoring and review function will be agreed. The group will be expected to:
 - i. horizon scan at local, district, and national levels for emerging housing and development concerns, issues, new policies, changes to any current policies,
 - ii. take account of and analyse these and then alert the Parish Council to the significance of or impact of any of these to the current plan
 - iii. Create, collect, complete, revise and analyse data sets for monitoring and review purposes
 - iv. Use a range of methods to keep the Parish members informed or engaged in required activities for the delivery or resubmission of the plan
 - v. Set the time plan and actions for the resubmission of the plan, including accessing appropriate funding

2. Submit to the group:

- a. all planning applications to this group requesting advice for testing the application against the current plan and for the group to assess and report on emerging trends
- b. any housing and development information or requests for a response or advice received from the Vale of the White Horse, County Council or other body
- 3. The Group will take a lead role in directing or steering a number of issues raised during the development of the first Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan requiring the attention of the parish community
- 4. The group will submit 6 monthly monitoring and review reports to the Parish Council against a set of agreed criteria and submit more frequently should emerging circumstances or consequences for the Parish be identified by the group

APPENDIX B

VISION AND OBJECTIVES SURVEY RESULTS SUMMARY

OUR VISION:

To maintain the rural character and landscape of the Parish, while supporting sustainable development that is of an appropriate scale and design to benefit the local community.

98%

PROTECTING THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT:

Design and Heritage

Objective 1: To ensure that new development respects the form and function of the settlements in the Parish, and is designed to a high quality which is compatible with the local character.

99%

Objective 2: To identify, protect and enhance the Parish's heritage assets.

99%

HOUSING [SPATIAL PLAN]:

Objective 3: To maintain the Parish's rural setting, while retaining the openness between the settlements to protect their individual identity.

100%

Objective 4: To limit new housing development to small "infill*" schemes only, so as not to harm the rural character of the Parish.

96%

TRANSPORT AND ACCESSIBILITY:

Objective 5: To improve accessibility by non-vehicular modes of transport by promoting improvements to footpath linkages to all of the settlements i.e. connect the outlying hamlets into the main village

89%

Objective 6: To protect and improve public transport services.

95%

Objective 7: To ensure adequate levels of off-road parking provision.

98%

As a separate 'non-policy' objective, the ANP will actively promote the improvement of public transport to/from Shrivenham thereby providing access to a doctors surgery and a regular bus service to Faringdon, Oxford and Swindon giving access to a full range of retail services to those living in the Parish, while affording better access for those from outside that work in the Parish.

OPEN SPACE:

Objective 8: To maintain, enhance and improve access to public open spaces and protect them from development.

94%

COMMUNITY FACILITIES:

Objective 9: To seek opportunities wherever possible to maintain and enhance the social and economic vitality of the Parish by supporting and expanding the range of services and facilities available locally to residents and visitors.

93%

PERCENTAGE FIGURES ARE FOR AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSES ONLY

Appendix B.2 V&O Questionnaire

Ashbury Parish Neighbourhood Plan, including Idstone & Kingstone Winslow

Vision, Objectives & Policy Options Consultation Questionnaire

Why this consultation

from previous consultations. There is more than one way of achieving these objectives. The Group is now asking which options are supported so that the The Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (the Group) has drafted a vision statement and more detailed objectives for the plan, based upon information Neighbourhood Plan will reflect the Parish's *'preferred options'* , provided these are consistent with national and local planning policies.

How to complete the form

one response per household. If there is more than one household living at the address, please download or request an additional form at ashbury.org.uk. Please just put a circle around yes or no to each question. If you wish to tell us anything else, please just add a note in the margin. The Group is seeking The form is also available on surveymonkey to complete via a link on ashbury.org.uk under Neighbourhood Plan Hot Topics.

Need more information? This questionnaire summarises our 'vision, objectives and options' paper. If you don't have access to the internet, contact Cliff Davies (Steering Group Chair) on 710712 or by email: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk and he will send you the information on paper. Further paper copies are available at Ashbury Village Shop and the Rose & Crown. Need help with completing the form? Please contact the Chair and he will arrange for someone to help you complete the form, but they will not advise you on what to answer.

How and when to return the form

Please return your completed questionnaire in one of the ballot boxes placed in village shop and pub, or local drop off points in Idstone (Featherbed Cottage) or Kingstone Winslow (Spring Cottage) by **11th June 2017**. Alternatively, you can return it by email to: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk

Tel:	tant dijectionnaire
Email	ne to complete this impo
le	The Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group thank vou for taking the time to complete this important guestionnair
Name	

All completed questionnaires will be entered into a cash prize raffle draw to win £100* to be held on 12th June 2017. * Only open to residents of the Parish, aged 18 years or over completing the V&O questionnaire.

Your chance to have your say in the future development of the Parish

About the Neighbourhood Plan

Our aim is to make the Parish of Ashbury an even better place to live now, and for future generations.

munities to exert more control over where development takes place, to influence the type and quality of that development including design standards, and to ensure The Neighbourhood Plan will cover a 15 year time period with a review every 5 years. Under the auspices of the 2011 Localism Act, a Neighbourhood Plan allows comthat the change it brings meets local objectives.

What is the alternative to a Neighbourhood Plan?

The alternative to adopting our own Neighbourhood Plan is to live with continued inappropriate development pressure: to be forced into a reactive approach each time a planning application is made. This is your chance to have your say, otherwise, if you do nothing, then you could get anything.

- Working with the support of our local authority Vale of White Horse our Neighbourhood Plan will be in line with national planning policy.
- Local people will through a local referendum be able to vote on the Plan and, if approved by a majority, it will be brought into force by the local authority.
- To further strengthen our role, developers will have to respond to the Neighbourhood Plan and consult the local community. This consultation gives all residents of Ashbury Parish a chance to comment when there is still genuine scope to make changes to proposals.







What Ashbury Parish will be like in the next 15 years:-

- A sustainable, thriving and prosperous community that supports a high quality of life for all its residents.
- An area with a high quality built and natural environment and protected green spaces.
- Change should be for the long term benefit of the whole community.

A Vision for Ashbury Parish

The Group believes that the following statement sums up the 'vision' of the neighbourhood plan. Do you support this statement?

	Yes / No	
To maintain the rural character and landscape of the Parish, while supporting sustainable	development that is of an appropriate scale and design to benefit the local community.	

Topic 1: Protecting the Built Environment Objectives & Options

The Group believes that the following objectives numbered 1 - 3 sum up the main built environment aims of the neighbourhood plan. Do you support them?

Objective 1: To ensure that new development respects the form and function of the settlements in the Parish, and is designed to a high quality which is compatible with the local character.	Yes / No
Objective 2: To identify, protect and enhance the Parish's heritage assets.	Yes / No
Objective 3: To maintain the Parish's rural setting, while retaining the openness between the settle-ments to protect their individual identity.	Yes / No

There are options for achieving these built environment objectives. Which options do you support? Please circle yes or no against each statement.

New development should not be prominent in scale, visually dominant or significantly change the character of the village or outlying hamlets.	Yes / No
New development should reflect the character of each settlement*.	Yes / No
To identify green gaps within the Parish to maintain the openness, and to prevent settlements from merging with one another.	Yes/No
New development should be incremental to allow for the gradual expansion of Ashbury, including community facilities and services.	Yes / No
Do nothing. Let planning applications for development be dealt with solely by existing national planning policy and the Vale of the White Horse Local Plan.	Yes / No

^{*}Character Appraisals are being prepared to help residents and the council to understand the history of an area and why it is special. They help shape future developments and planning policies, as well as giving residents an idea of what enhancements could be made.

Topic 2: Housing Objective & Options

The Group believes that the following statement sums up the housing objective of the neighbourhood plan. Do you support this statement?

Yes / No Objective 4: To limit new housing development to small "infill*" schemes only, so as not to harm the rural character of the Parish.

There are options for achieving this objective. Which options do you support? Please circle yes or no against each statement.

Restrict new housing to brownfield sites or infill schemes only.	Yes / No
Restrict housing in residential gardens in the Plan area.	Yes / No
Create criteria-based Neighbourhood Plan policies that reflect local objectives for the type, location and design of housing	Yes / No
Ensure sufficient housing is available to meet the needs of the ageing population and locally identified need*.	Yes / No
Do not include housing policies within the Neighbourhood Plan. Leave planning applications from house-builders, housing associations and individuals to be assessed solely in accordance with existing national planning policy and the Vale of White Horse Local Plan.	Yes / No

Infill: underused or vacant sites within the built up area of a settlement.

Locally identified need: a household living in housing that is not suitable for its requirements and who cannot afford to resolve this unsuitability within the private sector housing, as registered with the local authority.

Topic 3: Transport & Accessibility Objectives & Options

The Group believes that the following statements sum up the transport objectives of the neighbourhood plan. Do you support them?

Objective 5: To improve accessibility by non-vehicular modes of transport by promoting improvements to footpath linkages to all of the settlements i.e. connect the outlying hamlets into the main village	Yes / No
Objective 6: To protect and improve public transport services.	Yes / No
Objective 7: To ensure adequate levels of off-road parking provision	Yes / No

There are options for achieving these objectives. Which options do you support? Please circle yes or no against each statement.

Require all planning applications for development in Ashbury or in the outlying settlements to demonstrate how they will improve safe and enjoyable movement by pedestrians and cyclists to the services and community facilities within Ashbury village.	Yes / No
Require all planning applications to explore how they might enhance and/or provide new footpaths and bridleways to connect the outlying hamlets to Ashbury.	Yes / No
Do not include specific policies on walking or cycling in the Neighbourhood Plan.	Yes / No
Investigate ways to improve public transport accessibility as a Neighbourhood Plan policy, and seek funding for improvements from CIL* or targeted grants.	Yes / No
Do not incorporate policies on public transport in the Neighbourhood Plan, instead rely on national and local planning policies and let market forces provide services for which demand exists.	Yes / No
Require new development to provide an adequate amount of private and public, off road parking spaces to prevent increased street congestion.	Yes / No
Do not include any policies in the Neighbourhood Plan to seek improvements in off-road parking capacity in the Parish.	Yes / No

^{*}Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), operated by VWHDC and helps to pay for infrastructure to support new development, but not to remedy existing deficiencies unless the new scheme makes it worse. Developers pay a levy at a fixed rate set by the by local authority.

To find out more about the Neighbourhood Plan, visit ashbury.org.uk

Topic 4: Open Space Objective & Options

The Group believes that the following statement sums up the open space objective of the neighbourhood plan. Do you support this statement?

Objective 8: To maintain, enhance and improve access to public open spaces and protect them from	Yes / No
development.	

There are options for achieving this objective. Which options do you support? Please circle yes or no against each statement.

Identify areas of recreational value to protect as local green spaces which are of importance to the local community	Yes / No
Create a planning policy for the proposed type of recreational use for the public open space at Wixes Piece	Yes / No
Ensure that any new development that involves the loss of a recreational facility provides for its equivalent re-provision elsewhere in the Parish.	Yes / No
Do nothing. Leave any future planning applications for public open space to be assessed solely by existing national planning policy and the Vale of the White Horse Local Plan.	Yes/No

Topic 5: Better Facilities for Local People Objective & Options

The Group believes that the following statement sums up the better facilities objective of the neighbourhood plan. Do you support this statement?

Objective 9: To seek opportunities wherever possible to maintain and enhance the social and economic	Yes / No
vitality of the Parish by supporting and expanding the range of services and facilities available locally to	
residents and visitors	

There are options for achieving this objective. Which options do you support? Please circle yes or no against each statement.

Include policies in the Neighbourhood Plan that support planning applications for community facilities and health service provision.	Yes / No
Where appropriate, developers of sites should demonstrate that there is safe and easy access for residents to local facilities and services.	Yes / No
Understand the needs of the younger and ageing population in the Parish, and where possible engage with the voluntary and community sector to improve access to services and facilities for the community.	Yes / No
Do not incorporate any policies in the Neighbourhood Plan; planning applications for additional community facilities and health service providers should be considered only by national planning policy and the Vale of the White Horse District Local Plan.	Yes / No

Appendix C Copies of consultation publicity approach letters/Emails

Copies of responses from statutory bodies etc

Feedback Form:

Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Consultation Feedback Form

This form is for the Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Pre-submission Consultation

Name of the document this relates to: Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan, May 2018

Please return to the Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group, c/o Cliff Davies, Spring Cottage, Kingstone Winslow, SN6 8NG or Email to n.plan@shbury.org.uk Closing date for feedback: Friday 6th July, 2018 at 5.00 pm.

This form has two parts Part A Personal — Details — Part B — Your representation(s)	
Part A	
Personal Details*	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.	
Title	
First Name	
Last Name	
Job Title	
(where relevant)	
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address Line 1	
Line 2	
Line 3 Post	
Code	
Telephone Number	
E-mail Address	

P	ar	ŧ]	B
•			_

Name or Organisation:
3. To which parts of the neighbourhood plan does this representation relate?
Paragraph(s) Policy/Policies Map(s)
4. Is your representation:
(1) Supporting
(2) Objecting
(3) Commenting
5. Please give details of the grounds why you are supporting or objecting to the plan. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
6. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the plan able to proceed, related to any objections you have raised. You will need to say why this change will enable the plan to proceed. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand boxif necessary)
Signature: Date:

EMAIL APPROACH TO STATUTORY BODIES & INTERESTED GROUPS

CLIFE	De	wine
Cliff	U	IVIES

From: To:

<n.plan@ashbury.org.uk>

Cc:

Sent:

25 May 2018 10:25

Attach:

1 NP draft V3 web.pdf; PSC-feedback form rev3.pages.zip

Subject:

Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan

We are sending you this Email as we have been given your contact details as a representative of one of the statutory bodies servicing our parish or the neighbouring area.

Today, 25th May 2018, we launch the Pre-submission Consultation on the draft Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan [ANP] and would appreciate your feedback on the draft Plan. To this end we attach a copy of the ANP pre-submission draft together with a feedback form for your convenience, but these together with all the related documents can also be accessed/downloaded by following the links from the home-page of our website: http://ashbury.org.uk/ashbury-neighbourhood-plan

We would ask that the feedback form be returned to us prior to the close of the consultation at 5:00pm on Friday 6th July 2018, and you can do so by Email to: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk or by post to: The Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan,

Our thanks in anticipation of your assistance.

Cliff Davies

pp: Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

We are sending you this Email as we have been given your contact details as a representative of one of the statutory bodies servicing our parish or the neighbouring area.

Today, 25th May 2018, we launch the Pre-submission Consultation on the draft Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan [ANP] and would appreciate your feedback on the draft Plan. To this end we attach a copy of the ANP pre-submission draft together with a feedback form for your convenience, but these together with all the related documents can also be accessed/downloaded by following the links from the home-page of our website: http://ashbury.org.uk/ashbury-neighbourhood-plan

We would ask that the feedback form be returned to us prior to the close of the consultation at 5:00pm on Friday 6th July 2018, and you can do so by Email to: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk or by post to: The Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan,

Our thanks in anticipation of your assistance.

Cliff Davies

pp: Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

EMAIL APPROACH TO RESIDENTS & BUSINESSES

Cliff Davies

From: To:

<n.pian@asnbury.org.uk>

Cc: Sent:

25 May 2018 17:53

Attach:

1 NP draft V3 web.pdf; PSC feedback form.pdf

Subject: ANP Pre-submission Consultation

Dear Sir/Madam

As you will no doubt gather, this is a round-robin 'blind copied' to all residents & businesses that have participated in the Plan process' as today, 25th May 2018, we launch the Pre-submission Consultation on the draft Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan [ANP] and would appreciate your feedback on the draft Plan. To this end we attach a copy of the ANP pre-submission draft together with the feedback form for your convenience, but these together with all the related documents can also be accessed/downloaded by following the links from the home-page of our website:

http://ashbury.org.uk/ashbury-neighbourhood-plan

We would ask that the feedback form be returned to us prior to the close of the consultation at 5:00pm on Friday 6th July 2018, and you can do so by Email to: n.plan(ashbury.org.uk or by post to: The Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan,

-				Company .		Contract of the Contract of th
hir	thanke	117	antici	nation	of vont	assistance
$\circ u$	thums	111	antici	Dauou	OI VOUI	assistance.

1		T.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
•	1111	112	WIES
		Du	vies

pp:	Ashbury	Neighbourhood	Plan	Steering	Group
-----	---------	---------------	------	----------	-------

Dear Sir/Madam

As you will no doubt gather, this is a round-robin 'blind copied' to all residents & businesses that have participated in the Plan process' as today, 25th May 2018, we launch the Pre-submission Consultation on the draft Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan [ANP] and would appreciate your feedback on the draft Plan. To this end we attach a copy of the ANP pre-submission draft together with the feedback form for your convenience, but these together with all the related documents can also be accessed/downloaded by following the links from the home-page of our website: http://ashbury.org.uk/ashbury-neighbourhood-plan

We would ask that the feedback form be returned to us prior to the close of the consultation at 5:00pm on Friday 6th July 2018, and you can do so by Email to: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk or by post to: The Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan,

Our thanks in anticipation of your assistance.

Cliff Davies

pp: Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group



nationalgrid

The Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan c/o Spring Cottage Kingstone Winslow Ashbury **SN6 8NG**



Hannah Lorna Bevins Consultant Town Planner

Tel: 01926 439127 n.grid@amecfw.com

Sent by email: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk

05 June 2018

Dear Sir / Madam

Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Consultation SUBMISSION ON BEHALF OF NATIONAL GRID

National Grid has appointed Wood to review and respond to development plan consultations on its behalf. We are instructed by our client to submit the following representation with regards to the above Neighbourhood Plan consultation.

About National Grid

National Grid owns and operates the high voltage electricity transmission system in England and Wales and operate the Scottish high voltage transmission system. National Grid also owns and operates the gas transmission system. In the UK, gas leaves the transmission system and enters the distribution networks at high pressure. It is then transported through a number of reducing pressure tiers until it is finally delivered to our customers. National Grid own four of the UK's gas distribution networks and transport gas to 11 million homes, schools and businesses through 81,000 miles of gas pipelines within North West, East of England, West Midlands and North London.

To help ensure the continued safe operation of existing sites and equipment and to facilitate future infrastructure investment, National Grid wishes to be involved in the preparation, alteration and review of plans and strategies which may affect our assets.

Specific Comments

An assessment has been carried out with respect to National Grid's electricity and gas transmission apparatus which includes high voltage electricity assets and high pressure gas pipelines, and also National Grid Gas Distribution's Intermediate and High Pressure apparatus.

National Grid has identified that it has no record of such apparatus within the Neighbourhood Plan area.

Key resources / contacts

National Grid has provided information in relation to electricity and transmission assets via the following internet link:

http://www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shape-files/

The electricity distribution operator in The Vale of White Horse Council is SSE Power Distribution. Information regarding the transmission and distribution network can be found at: www.energynetworks.org.uk

Please remember to consult National Grid on any Neighbourhood Plan Documents or site-specific proposals that could affect our infrastructure. We would be grateful if you could add our details shown below to your consultation database:

Gables House Kenilworth Road Leamington Spa Warwickshire CV32 6JX United Kingdom Tel +44 (0) 1926 439 000 woodpic.com

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited Registered office: Booths Park, Chelford Road, Knutsford, Cheshire WA16 8QZ Registered in England. No. 2190074











Feedback Form:

Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Consultation Feedback Form

This form is for the Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Pre-submission Consultation

Name of the document this relates to: Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan, May 2018

Please return to the Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group, c/o Cliff Davies, Spring Cottage, Kingstone Winslow, SN6 8NG or Email to n.plan@shbury.org.uk Closing date for feedback: Friday 6th July, 2018 at 5.00 pm.

This form has two parts -Part A - Personal Details Part B - Your representation(s) Part A 1. Personal Details* 2. Agent's Details (if applicable) *If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2. Title Mrs First Name Anne Last Name Lankester Job Title Localities Co-ordinator (where relevant) Organisation Oxfordshire Clinical (where relevant) Commissioning Group Jubilee House Address Line 1 Line 2 John Smith Drive Line 3 Oxford Post Code OX4 2LH Telephone Number 01865 337007 anne.lankester@oxfordshireccg.nhs.u E-mail Address

Part B					
Name or Organi	sation:				
3. To which pa	arts of the neig	hbourhood plan	does this repr	esentation relat	re?
Paragraph(s)	All, plus appendix 3	Policy/Policies		Map(s)	3
4. Is your repre	esentation:				
(1) Supporting					
(2) Objecting					
(3) Commenting	ţ		✓		
5. Please give precise as poss	details of the graible. (Continue of	rounds why you on a separate sheet /e	are supporting	or objecting to	the plan. Please be as
					(i)
the draft Ashb Oxfordshire G We have read make it an effe As we are all a on already stre sustainable Gl recruitment ch We would loo with CIL or So	the draft NDP weetive document ware there are etched health se P practices, with allenges. k to the local placetion 106 fund	occ commission with much interest. lots of large house rvices. The current a focus on different anning authority ing to develop es	ons health servi- t and can see the sing schemes plant direction of the rent models of cand local NDP tates. Where the	ant a lot of work anned across Ox ravel for the OC care as we face r team to support is was not possib	as gone into the plan to dordshire putting strain CG is for larger, more etirements and the local GP practice ble the OCCG would stact us on the details
				7	12.06.18

Signature:

Date:

----- Original Message -----

From: Bullock Lisa <Lisa.Bullock@networkrail.co.uk>
To: "n.plan@ashbury.org.uk" <n.plan@ashbury.org.uk>

Date: 14 June 2018 at 17:33

Subject: Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you for consulting us on the Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan. This email forms the basis of our response.

Network Rail is a statutory undertaker responsible for maintaining and operating the country's railway infrastructure and associated estate. Network Rail owns, operates, maintains and develops the main rail network. This includes the railway tracks, stations, signalling systems, bridges, tunnels, level crossings and viaducts. The preparation of development plan policy is important in relation to the protection and enhancement of Network Rail's infrastructure.

As Network Rail is a publicly funded organisation with a regulated remit it would not be reasonable to require Network Rail to fund rail improvements necessitated by commercial development. It is therefore appropriate to require developer contributions to fund such improvements.

We would appreciate the Council's providing Network Rail with an opportunity to comment on any future planning policy documents. We look forward to continuing to work with you to maintain consistency between local and rail network planning strategy.

We trust these comments will be considered in your preparation of the forthcoming Plan documents.

Yours faithfully

Lisa Bullock MRTPI

Town Planner (Western and Wales) | Property Network Rail

1st Floor | Temple Point | Redcliffe Way | Bristol |BS1 6NL

M 07710940757

E lisa.bullock@networkrail.co.uk

www.networkrail.co.uk/property

♠ ♠ B I ☵ Ξ Ξ

Formats ▼

Arial

▼ 11pt

A -

Many thanks for your response Peter

And rest assured that your comments will be included/considered in our review at the close of the consulta

Thanks again

Cliff Davies

Ashbury Parish Neighbourhood Plan

e: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk (mailto:n.plan@ashbury.org.uk)

On 05 July 2018 at 11:53 Lambourn Parish Council lambournpc@btconnect.com wrote:

Dear Mr. Davies.

PARISH OF ASHBURY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

Thank you for your email regarding the draft Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan. It was discussed at a meet and noted at the Full Council Meeting on 4th July 2018. Please find attached the consultation form.

We believe that the plan has no adverse impact on the parish of Lambourn and would like to congratule producing such a well written, well-constructed and considered document. We wish you well for the sm

Kind Regards.

Peter Penfold

Chairman.

Lambourn Parish Council

The Memorial Hall, Oxford Street, Lambourn, Berkshire. RG17 8XP

Feedback

Send

Save

Discard

Cliff Davies

SBS

From: "Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan" <n.plan@ashbury.org.uk>

To:

03 August 2018 14:52

Sent: Attach:

1 NP draft V3 web.pdf; PSC-feedback form rev3.pages.zip

Subject:

Fwd: Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan

Cliff Davies - Chair

ANP Steering Group

----- Original Message -----

From: Sarah Chidgey <sarah@chidgeypr.co.uk>

To: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk

Cc: Aldbourne Parish Council <aldbournepc@yahoo.co.uk>

Date: 05 July 2018 at 20:29

Subject: Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to comment on Ashbury's Neighbourhood Plan.

It was a very comprehensive document.

We have no comments to make.

Sarah Chidgey

Acting Chairman Baydon Parish Council

----- Forwarded message -----

From: CLIFF DAVIES < cliffdavies 1@btinternet.com>

Date: Thu, May 31, 2018 at 12:34 PM

Subject: Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan

To: < n.plan@ashbury.org.uk>

Cc: Cliff Davies < cliffdavies 1@btinternet.com>

We are sending you this Email as one of the statutory bodies representing or serving one of the areas adjoining our parish.

Our Pre-submission Consultation on the draft Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan [ANP] launched on 25th May 2018 and we would appreciate your feedback on the draft Plan. To this end we attach a copy of the ANP pre-submission draft together with a feedback form for your convenience, but these together with all the related documents can also be accessed/downloaded by following the links from the home-page of our website: http://ashbury.org.uk/ashbury-neighbourhood-plan

We would ask that the feedback form be returned to us prior to the close of the consultation at 5:00pm on Friday 6th July 2018, and you can do so by Email to: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk or by post to: The Parish of Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan, c/o Spring Cottage, Kingstone Winslow, Ashbury, SN6 8NG.

Our thanks in anticipation of your assistance, but if you have any difficulty accessing either the attached documents or our website, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Cliff Davies

pp: Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Date:

06 July 2018

Our ref: 248162

Vale of White Horse District Council

BY EMAIL ONLY





Customer Services Hornbeam House Crewe Business Park Electra Way Crewe Cheshire CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

Dear Sir or Madam

Planning Consultation: Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan: Pre-submission consultation Reg 14

Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 25th May 2018.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted on draft neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums where our interests would be affected by the proposals made.

In our review of the Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan we have a few comments to make:

- Objectives- We would like to remind you of your commitments to the environment as set out in
 the NPPF and also The Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031. While the Local Plan covers
 environmental objectives and policies for the district, environmental objectives at the
 neighbourhood level are a good opportunity to define local priorities for biodiversity
 conservation and enhancement, and to think about identifying key habitats, species and
 opportunities to improve habitat connectivity and green infrastructure. Please consider including
 the example objectives below which can be tailored to the plan area:
 - To maintain and enhance biodiversity in the neighbourhood plan area, with a goal towards providing a net gain of biodiversity for all development proposals.
 - To create, protect, enhance and manage green infrastructure and networks of biodiversity.
 - To plan for biodiversity at a landscape-scale, and safeguard and enhance connectivity of local ecological networks.
- Policies- Following on from the suggested environmental objectives, we suggest creating a
 new set of environmental policies. We have provided a list of suggestions to include in the
 policies and linked them to the relevant legislation for ease of reference:
 - Net gain of biodiversity: Please ensure that any development policy in your plan includes wording to ensure "all development results in a biodiversity net gain for the neighbourhood plan area". All development proposals should maintain and enhance existing on-site biodiversity assets, and provide for wildlife needs on site, where possible. Where appropriate, on-site enhancements such as new roosting features for bats or nesting features for birds should be incorporated into the fabric of development. Policies around Biodiversity Net Gain should propose the use of a biodiversity measure for development proposals. Examples of calculation methods are included in Annex A.

- For further reference please see paragraph 109 of the NPPF, and Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (NERC Act).
- Green infrastructure (GI): Elements of GI such as open green space, wild green space, allotments, and green walls and roofs can all be used to create connected habitats suitable for species adaptation to climate change. Green infrastructure also provides multiple benefits for people including recreation, health and well-being, access to nature, opportunities for food growing, and resilience to climate change. Annex A provides examples of Green Infrastructure. Development proposals required to provide on-site green infrastructure must provide Green Infrastructure management plans, with proposals including funding for the long-term management of the assets. For further reference please see paragraph 114 of the NPPF.
- Connectivity: Proposals for development should provide wildlife corridors that allow wildlife to move from one area of habitat to another. Where ecologically relevant, fences and walls are encouraged to incorporate features that allow dispersal of wildlife through areas of green space and gardens. We recommend keeping green space within villages and across developments in order to maintain connectivity of wider ecological networks. Green spaces in built-up areas also help the health and wellbeing of residents. For further reference please see paragraphs 113 and 117 of the NPPF.
- Brownfield land: We recommend mentioning favouring developing on brownfield sites over greenfield sites, provided the brownfield land is not of high environmental value. Removal of green space in favour of development may have serious impacts on biodiversity and connected habitat and therefore species ability to adapt to climate change. For further reference please see paragraphs 110 and 111 in the NPPF.
- Priority habitats and species: Planning policies should promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, and promote the recovery of priority species populations. Please consult Annex A for guidance on how to find priority species and habitats in the Ashbury neighbourhood area. For further reference please see paragraph 117 of the NPPF.
- North Wessex Downs AONB: We note that a significant part of the parish lies within North Wessex Downs AONB. We guide you towards paragraphs 115 and 116 of the NPPF for reference on development in AONBs. We remind you that development proposals brought forward for allocated sites within the boundaries of, or in the setting of, the AONB are required to have independent LVIA in line with the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (V3) May 2013 (or as replaced).
- As the neighbourhood plan does not allocate any sites for development, we have no specific comment to make on this matter.

The recently produced <u>Neighbourhood Plan for Benson</u>, in South Oxfordshire provides an excellent example. The plan has recently received the go ahead at referendum, and we are of the opinion that the policy wording around the Environment, Green Space and Biodiversity is exemplar. We would recommend you considering this document, when reviewing yours.

Annex A provides information on the natural environment and issues and opportunities for your Neighbourhood planning.

Yours sincerely

Milena Petrovic Adviser Sustainable Development Thames Team

Annex A - Neighbourhood planning and the natural environment: information, issues and opportunities

Natural Environment Information Sources

The Magic¹ website will provide you with much of the nationally held natural environment data for your plan area. The most relevant layers for you to consider are: Agricultural Land Classification, Ancient Woodland, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Local Nature Reserves, National Parks (England), National Trails, Priority Habitat Inventory, public rights of way (on the Ordnance Survey base map) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (including their impact risk zones). Local environmental record centres may hold a range of additional information on the natural environment. A list of local record centres is available here².

Priority habitats are those habitats of particular importance for nature conservation, and the list of them can be found here3. Most of these will be mapped either as **Sites of Special Scientific Interest**, on the Magic website or as **Local Wildlife Sites**. Your local planning authority should be able to supply you with the locations of Local Wildlife Sites.

National Character Areas (NCAs) divide England into 159 distinct natural areas. Each character area is defined by a unique combination of landscape, biodiversity, geodiversity and cultural and economic activity. NCA profiles contain descriptions of the area and statements of environmental opportunity, which may be useful to inform proposals in your plan. NCA information can be found here4.

There may also be a local **landscape character assessment** covering your area. This is a tool to help understand the character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and identify the features that give it a sense of place. It can help to inform, plan and manage change in the area. Your local planning authority should be able to help you access these if you can't find them online.

If your neighbourhood planning area is within or adjacent to a **National Park** or **Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty** (AONB), the relevant National Park/AONB Management Plan for the area will set out useful information about the protected landscape. You can access the plans on from the relevant National Park Authority or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty website.

General mapped information on **soil types** and **Agricultural Land Classification** is available (under 'landscape') on the <u>Magic</u>⁵ website and also from the <u>LandIS website</u>⁶, which contains more information about obtaining soil data.

Natural Environment Issues to Consider

The <u>National Planning Policy Framework</u>⁷ sets out national planning policy on protecting and enhancing the natural environment. <u>Planning Practice Guidance</u>⁸ sets out supporting guidance.

Your local planning authority should be able to provide you with further advice on the potential impacts of your plan on the natural environment and the need for any environmental assessments.

¹ http://magic.defra.gov.uk/

² http://www.nbn-nfbr.org.uk/nfbr.php

³http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx

⁴ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-character-area-profiles-data-for-local-decision-making

⁵ http://magic.defra.gov.uk/

⁶ http://www.landis.org.uk/index.cfm

⁷ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2

⁸ http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/natural-environment/

Landscape

Paragraph 109 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) highlights the need to protect and enhance valued landscapes through the planning system. Your plan may present opportunities to protect and enhance locally valued landscapes. You may want to consider identifying distinctive local landscape features or characteristics such as ponds, woodland or dry stone walls and think about how any new development proposals can respect and enhance local landscape character and distinctiveness.

If you are proposing development within or close to a protected landscape (National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty) or other sensitive location, we recommend that you carry out a landscape assessment of the proposal. Landscape assessments can help you to choose the most appropriate sites for development and help to avoid or minimise impacts of development on the landscape through careful siting, design and landscaping.

Wildlife habitats

Some proposals can have adverse impacts on designated wildlife sites or other priority habitats (listed here9), such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest or Ancient woodland 10. If there are likely to be any adverse impacts you'll need to think about how such impacts can be avoided, mitigated or, as a last resort, compensated for.

Priority and protected species and habitat

You'll also want to consider whether any proposals might affect priority species (listed here11) or protected species. Natural England has produced advice here 12 to help understand the impact of particular developments on protected species. Consideration should also be given to the potential environmental value of brownfield sites, often found in urban areas and former industrial land, further information including links to the open mosaic habitats inventory can be found here.

Ancient woodland and veteran trees-link to standing advice

You should consider any impacts on ancient woodland and veteran trees in line with paragraph 118 of the NPPF. Natural England maintains the Ancient Woodland Inventory which can help identify ancient woodland. Natural England and the Forest Commission have produced standing advice for planning authorities in relation to ancient woodland and veteran trees. It should be taken into account by planning authorities when determining relevant planning applications. Natural England will only provide bespoke advice on ancient woodland/veteran trees where they form part of a SSSI or in exceptional circumstances

Biodiversity net gain

Under section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 Local Planning Authorities are required to conserve biodiversity. The NPPF section 109 states "the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity wherever possible". . Suitable methods for calculating biodiversity net gain can include the Defra biodiversity offsetting metric13 and the environment bank biodiversity impact calculator¹⁴. Natural England would expect a policy within the Neighbourhood Plan to include wording to ensure that net biodiversity gain is achieved.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiv ersity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx

¹⁰ https://www.gov.uk/guidance/ancient-woodland-and-veteran-trees-protection-surveys-licences

¹¹ http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiv ersity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx

¹² https://www.gov.uk/protected-species-and-sites-how-to-review-planning-proposals

https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/biodiversity-offsetting#guidance-for-offset-providers-developers-and-local-authorities-in-the-pilotareas Note; the 'Guidance for developers' and 'Guidance for offset providers' documents provide a calculation method.

14 http://www.environmentbank.com/impact-calculator.php , and

http://www.google.co.uk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=3&ved=0ahUKEwj7vcbl0aDQAhVMDcAKHb8IDEUQFqqsMAl&url=http=%3A%2F%2Fconsult.welhat.gov.uk%2Ffile%2F4184236&usg=AFQjCNFfkbJIJQ_UN0044Qe6rmiLffxckq

Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land

Soil is a finite resource that fulfils many important functions and services for society. It is a growing medium for food, timber and other crops, a store for carbon and water, a reservoir of biodiversity and a buffer against pollution. If you are proposing development, you should seek to use areas of poorer quality agricultural land in preference to that of a higher quality in line with National Planning Policy Framework para 112. For more information, see our publication Agricultural Land Classification: protecting the best and most versatile agricultural land¹⁵.

Green Infrastructure, Improving Your Natural Environment.

Inclusion of Green Infrastructure (GI) in to development plans can provide multifunctional benefits to the area. These can include opportunities for recreation, health and wellbeing and access to nature as well as providing connected habitats for wildlife.

Your plan or order can offer exciting opportunities to enhance your local environment through inclusion of GI. If you are setting out policies on new development or proposing sites for development, you may wish to consider identifying what environmental features you want to be retained, connected, enhanced or new features you would like to see created as part of any new development. Examples might include:

- Providing a new footpath with landscaping through the new development to link into existing rights of way or other green spaces.
- Restoring a neglected hedgerow or creating new ones.
- · Creating a new pond as an attractive feature on the site.
- Planting trees characteristic to the local area to make a positive contribution to the local landscape.
- Using native plants in landscaping schemes for better nectar and seed sources for bees and birds
- Incorporating swift boxes or bat boxes into the design of new buildings.
- Considering how lighting can be best managed to encourage wildlife.
- · Adding a green roof or walls to new or existing buildings.

You may also want to consider enhancing your local area in other ways, for example by:

- Setting out in your plan how you would like to implement elements of a wider Green Infrastructure Strategy in your community.
- Assessing needs for accessible greenspace and setting out proposals to address any deficiencies or enhance provision.
- Identifying green areas of particular importance for special protection through Local Green Space designation (see <u>Planning Practice Guidance on this</u>¹⁶).
- Managing existing (and new) public spaces to be more wildlife friendly (e.g. by sowing wild flower strips in less used parts of parks, changing hedge cutting timings and frequency).
- Planting additional street trees.
- Identifying any improvements to the existing public right of way network, e.g. cutting back hedges, improving the surface, clearing litter or installing kissing gates) or extending the network to create missing links.
- Restoring neglected environmental features (e.g. coppicing a prominent hedge that is in poor condition or clearing away an eyesore).

¹⁵ http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/35012

¹⁶ http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/open-space-sports-and-recreation-facilities-public-rights-of-way-and-local-green-space/local-green-space-designation/

Green Roofs

Natural England is supportive of the inclusion of living roofs in all appropriate development. Research indicates that the benefits of green roofs include reducing run-off and thereby the risk of surface water flooding; reducing the requirement for heating and air-conditioning; and providing habitat for wildlife.

We would advise your council that some living roofs, such as sedum matting, can have limited biodiversity value in terms of the range of species that grow on them and habitats they provide. Natural England would encourage you to consider the use of bespoke solutions based on the needs of the wildlife specific to the site and adjacent area. I would refer you to http://livingroofs.org/ for a range of innovative solutions.

SB 7



Environment & Economy County Hall New Road Oxford OX1 1ND

Director for Planning and Place – Susan Halliwell

6 July 2018

Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Email: n.plan@ashbury.org.uk

Copy:

planning.policy@whitehorse.gov.uk

Dear Cliff Davies.

Ashbury - Draft Pre-Submission Neighbourhood Plan

We have reviewed the draft Ashbury neighbourhood plan and note that no allocations are proposed. The area is rural and Ashbury is defined as a 'smaller village' in the Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part 1 where limited infilling is envisaged. You are not proposing any allocations of land for housing.

Heritage - Archaeology

Although the draft plan refers to the historic environment, it does not include any specific policies to protect non-designated assets. We would suggest a policy on the following lines.

Policy HE – The Historic Environment: The parish's designated historic heritage assets and their settings, both above and below ground including listed buildings, scheduled monuments and conservation areas will be conserved and enhanced for their historic significance and their important contribution to local distinctiveness, character and sense of place.

Proposals for development that affect non-designated historic assets will be considered taking account of the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF 2012).

Transport

We acknowledge the thorough and useful text around transport and accessibility starting at 7.47 and including Policy 7. As you note, the existing bus service is operated by West Berkshire County Transport Services and therefore the matter of funding to sustain that bus service will be a cross-boundary matter. Further consideration could be given to your paragraph 7.56 – please note that the availability of public transport is a land use consideration, although it is unlikely to be

of significance for small scale developments (on larger scale developments it is expected that there will be suitable public transport availability).

Key Infrastructure Requirements are set out in Appendix 3. These include:

- a. A new bus service to Shrivenham
- b. Funding to sustain the existing bus service
- c. Speed restrictions on the exits/entrances to Ashbury
- d. Sustainable transport measures

The Appendix is referred to in 7.96 and preceding paragraphs in the context of priorities for CIL spending. A parish with a neighbourhood plan will obtain 25% of CIL arising in that parish from new development. Due to the lack of housing allocations and the policies of the Local Plan restricting development, it is unlikely that there will be new development on the scale to support much infrastructure either directly to mitigate the development or indirectly through 25% of the CIL. It is therefore our view that the items 'a' to 'd' above, and in particular 'a', may not be able to be achieved. We suggest at least that Appendix 3 is re-phrased to make it clear (like Appendix 2) that these are infrastructure aspirations. Judgements about the feasibility/appropriateness of these measures would need to be made with specific regard to any particular development proposal as and when it comes forward. The County Council can only request contributions where they meet relevant tests including that it is: necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the development; and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

Yours faithfully,

Lynette Hughes

Lynette Hughes Senior Planning Officer

Email: Lynette. Hughes@oxfordshire.gov.uk



Planning

HEAD OF SERVICE: Adrian Duffield



Sent via e-mail

CONTACT OFFICER: Ben Davis
Ben.davis@southandvale.gov.uk
Tel: 01235 422600

135 Eastern Avenue Milton Park Milton OX14 4SB Our reference: Ashbury NDP

28 June 2018

Dear,

Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan (ANP) – June 2018 Pre-Submission Consultation

Thank you for providing the Planning Policy Team with an opportunity to comment on the Pre-Submission Version of the Ashbury Neighbourhood Plan (ANP).

Officers recognise the significant amount of volunteer time that has been spent on working towards your draft plan.

Having now seen a draft, along with a number of evidence studies, we are able to offer this further informal officer advice, under our duty to support neighbourhood plans. Our response provides our key comments. It focuses on helping the plan to pass examination by meeting the basic conditions set out in legislation and national policy and guidance.

To communicate our response in a simple and positive manner, we produced a table containing a copy of the relevant section/policy of the NDP, our comments and, where possible, a recommendation. Officers are committed to continue to work with the Group in progressing its NDP and if the Group has any comments regarding the officer comments, please do contact the Planning Policy Team.

Our comments at this stage are merely a constructive contribution to the process and should not be interpreted as the Council's formal view about whether the draft plan meets the basic conditions and it will ultimately be for the examiner to determine whether the NDP meets the basic conditions.

Health Check

In advance of the referendum on your neighbourhood plan, there is a requirement for it to undergo examination by an independent person to check if it meets the Basic Conditions. Often the examiner will make a series of recommendations, which often include modifications to various aspects of a neighbourhood plan. Examiners also









make a recommendation on whether a neighbourhood plan should proceed to referendum.

We recommend a 'health check' on your NP is carried out by an independent and suitable qualified person, in advance of submission to the district council. A health check is an excellent way to achieve an independent view on whether your plan is ready for examination and will meet the Basic Conditions and all other required legislation.

Yours sincerely

Ben Davis Planning Policy Officer



General An requirements for rol neighbourhood plans: evidence The base the ex	A neighbourhood plan should be supported by a proportionate (in the work required) and robust (to withstand scrutiny) planning evidence base. The three core evidence base documents are the Basic Conditions Statement,	Prepare the three core evidence base
	bust (to withstand soluting) praining evidence base.	
: evidence	he three core evidence base documents are the Basic Conditions Statement,	studies and consider
	i : (: (:	whether amendments
Š Š Ō.	Consultation Statement and Environmental Report (SEA or SA Report). These should be	are required to the
5 Б.	the primary method of demonstrating how the Plan meets requirements, to help pass the	evidence base
ō.		submission following
	Other evidence base documents may be submitted for examination where they have	comments from
9e	been prepared and lend support to the Plan.	stakeholders and any health check.
5	Officers acknowledge there are many evidence base documents available to view and	
00	comment upon alongside the draft Plan, which is helpful.	
ō 9	Officers would advise the Group to consider the comments received through the	
ਲ <i>ਨ</i>	Submission.	
# 6	It may be helpful to provide the date for each evidence base document referred to in the Plan.	
= 24 6	In Section 7, paragraph 7.10, reference is made to the Character Appraisal. It may be helpful to state that this appraisal has been undertaken in accordance with national policy and current hest practice.	HUNGO ST
Chapter 4 In	In paragraph 4.2 it may be helpful to consider making reference to the National Planning Practice Quidance (PPG) which provides additional quidance to the National Planning	
ď	Policy Framework (NPPF)	

Section/Policy	Comment	Recommendation
General requirements for neighbourhood plans: Basic	Neighbourhood plans must meet the Basic Conditions. All of these can be found in paragraph 65 of the national guidance https://www.gov.uk/guidance/neighbourhoodplanning2#basic-conditions-for-neighbourhoodplan-to-referendum	It is recommended the Group carefully consider how the Plan meets the Basic
	One of these states that the "neighbourhood plan must be in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan". Up to date strategic policies are set out in the local plan, which consists of the recently adopted Local Plan 2031: Part 1 (Part 1 plan) and will be followed by the emerging Local Plan 2031: Part 2 plan). All of the Part 1 plan is considered to be strategic, which will be supplemented by strategic policies in the Part 2 plan. Development management policies will also be set out in the Part 2 plan. More information on the progress and expected timetable of the Part 2 plan is set out on our website.	
	No sites are allocated in the Part 1 or Part 2 plan in the Parish of Ashbury. Officers are aware that a Basic Conditions Statement is being prepared.	
General comments about getting a health check from an independent examiner/NPIERS as previously recommended	Neighbourhood planning is a positive tool for communities to help shape development in their area. Seeking independent advice from a suitably qualified professional on whether the neighbourhood plan will meet the Basic Conditions is strongly recommended. Two options that could be considered are to seek the views of an examiner or consultant or to carry out a health check on your plan. Officers are aware that the Group has employed consultants to assist in preparing the plan who may be able to assist in undertaking this exercise.	A health check is advised to assist the preparation of the final submission plan.
	A health check gives valuable independent insight into whether a neighbourhood plan is expected to meet the Basic Conditions and helps to inform the final submission plan. Neighbourhood plan health checks are often available from consultants and separately an independent service that was set up by various professional bodies.	







Section/Policy	Comment	Recommendation
Policy 1: Design and Heritage	Officers advise the group to consider criteria 5 of this policy alongside Core Policy 39: Historic Environment and national policy, with particular reference to the need to 'conserve and enhance' heritage assets	
Chapter 7: Land Uses Policies	This section could make reference to the Vale of White Horse Landscape Character Assessment which was produced as part of the Local Plan 2031 Part 2 evidence.	As per comments.
Design, Heritage and Setting	http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/java/support/dynamic_serve.jsp?ID=902931893&CODE=3F1C966299F520ECDF73A3C67A8D4D3F	
Chapter 7: Land Uses Policies	This section could make reference to the Oxfordshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Project produced by Oxfordshire County Council and Historic England:	As per comments.
Design, Heritage and Setting	https://www2.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/content/oxfordshire-historic-landscape-characterisation-project	
Chapter 7: Land Use Policies	This section could make reference to the North Wessex Downs AONB Management Plan 2014-2019:	The North Wessex Downs AONB Management Plan
Design, Heritage and Setting	http://www.northwessexdowns.org.uk/uploads/File Management/NWD Docs/About Us/ Management Plan/NWD AONB Management Plan 2014-19.pdf	sets out policies and objectives relating to dark night skies.
Dark Skies)
Chapter 7: Land Use Policies	This section could make reference to the Vale of White Horse Landscape Character Assessment which was produced as part of the Local Plan 2031 Part 2 evidence.	As per comments.
Views and Vistas	http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/java/support/dynamic_serve.jsp?ID=902931893&CODE =3F1C966299F520ECDF73A3C67A8D4D3F	
Chapter 7: Land Use Policies	This section could also make reference to the Vale of White Horse Landscape Character Assessment which supports Development Policy 29: Settlement Character and Gaps in the emerging Local Plan 2031 Part 2.	As per comments.



Spatial Strategy Chapter 7: Land Uses Policies Oxfordshire County Council's Rights of Way M Transport & https://www2.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/content/chapter 7: Land Natural England (2017) CRoW & Coastal Accentutes//www.openaccess.naturalengland.org.uk/5/04 SB8K8xLLM9MSSzPy8xBz9CP0os3gfd/E6B8PFm8AQ7gaEBAdzilPrN4M3cfC0MnfwN Tzyc1P1C3ipLJMHBUBQs8ezwil/di3/d3/L2dl Chapter 7: Land At paragraph 7.64 it may be helpful to conside Council's Countryside Access Maps, available Chapter 7: Land Use Policies Chapter 7: Land Use Policies This section could make reference to the follow the Local Plan 2031 Part 2:	Comment	Recommendation
	At paragraph 7.59 it may be helpful to make reference to the following publications:	As per comments.
	Oxfordshire County Council's Rights of Way Management Plan 2015-2025:	
	https://www2.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/content/oxfordshires-rights-way-management-plan	
	Oxfordshire County Council (2017) Countryside Access Maps	
	https://www2.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/content/countryside-access-maps	
	Natural England (2017) CRoW & Coastal Access Maps http://www.openaccess.naturalengland.org.uk/wps/portal/oasys/maps/MapSearch/lut/p/c 5/04 SB8K8xLLM9MSSzPy8xBz9CP0os3gfdwMzDyNnA0v kCBXA08DT38XHy9PQ38D E6B8pFm8AQ7gaEBAdzilPrN4M3cfC0MnfwNLg0A CwNPC3MTl0cPbwMDY10IPB7z Tzyc1P1C3ijDLJMHBUBQs8ezwil/di3/d3/L2dBiSEvZ0FBIS9nQSEh/	
	At paragraph 7.64 it may be helpful to consider a reference to Oxfordshire County Council's Countryside Access Maps, available to view and access at:	OCC's Countryside Access Maps show
	https://www2.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/content/countryside-access-maps	access points and permissive linking routes.
Better Facilities	This section could make reference to the following evidence base documents to support the Local Plan 2031 Part 2:	
for Local People		



Section/Policy	Comment	Recommendation
	Vale of White Horse Open Spaces Report:	The Open Spaces
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	Report provides a
	http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/java/support/dynamic_serve.jsp?ID=902931571&CODE	parish by parish
	=792953738B8EE27C9EF0288A70B4FAE5	quantitative
		assessment for each
		typology of open
***		space, e.g.
		allotments, parks and
		gardens, amenity
	Vale of White Horse Local Leisure Facilities Report:	green space,
		children's play and
	http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/java/support/dynamic_serve.jsp?ID=902931569&CODE	youth provision.
	=7E4EF515AF9E3C059831A5F36F5B6BB8	
		The Local Leisure
		Facilities Report
		provides a qualitative
		audit for village and
		community halls
		across the district,
		including proposals
		for improvements
		where appropriate.
		This includes an audit
		of Ashbury Village
		Tol